

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/645,870	UDA, TETSUYA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Omar Rojas	2874	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Omar Rojas.

(3) _____.

(2) Keith E. George.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A.

Claims discussed:

5 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,577,438 B2 to Sugawara et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner suggested to Mr. George changes to claims 5 and 12 in order to avoid any potential issues of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. §103 in view of the Sugawara patent combined with the prior art of record. Mr. George kindly agreed to make certain changes to the claims. The changes that were agreed to have been incorporated into an attached Examiner's amendment.